

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/750,142	12/31/2003	Anthony DiCarlo	T1-36187	7928	
		7590 06/08/200 LUMENTS INCORPO		EXAMINER		
	POBOX 6554			VINH, LAN		
	DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				06/08/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/75	50,142	DICARLO ET AL.			
Offi	ice Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		Lan V		1765			
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communi	cation appears or	n the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNION me may be available under the provisions on the provision of	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In runication. o) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be ting e statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>21 March 20</i>	007.				
2a)☐ This ac	` *	b)⊠ This action					
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims						
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
						7) Claim(s	
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Pap	ers						
9)☐ The spe	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
,	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35		•					
<u>-</u>	ledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority	under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/a	\			
	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. 9 119(a))-(a) or (1).			
	Certified copies of the priority of			ion No			
	Copies of the certified copies of		· ·				
	pplication from the Internation			su in this National Stage			
	attached detailed Office action			ad			
300 110 1	and detailed office delicit		oranica copies nocreceive	,u.			

Attachment(s)	oness Cited (DTO 200)		∧ □	(DTO 444)			
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	rO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Information Dis	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I	•	5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date		6)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-6 of the response, filed 3/21/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,4-5, 7 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Park (US 4,624,424) and claim 8 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (424) in view of Park (3595) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection of claims 1, 4-5, 7-8 is made in view of Chen et al (US 5,70,740)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al (US 5,700,740)

Chen discloses a method for preventing of corrosion of internconects includes the step of removing pattern resist that remains after an etch of an underlying metal pattern (col 4, lines 30-35). The method comprises the steps of:

providing a wafer having an etched patterned aluminum layer and an overlying mask pattern resist (col 3, lines 60-65)

Art Unit: 1765

rinsing/cleaning the wafer with water (col 4, lines 25-27), which reads on cleaning the wafer with develop solution since the instant specification discloses that develop clean may include water (lines 20-25 on page 10 of the specification)

ashing the surface of the substrate (col 4, lines 30-32)

removing the remaining photoresist pattern by stripping/rinsing with a solvent after the cleaning and ashing step (col 4, lines 30-35; col 6, lines 35-39), which reads on photochemically removing the pattern resist that remains after the cleaning and ashing since the applicants discloses that photochemically removing comprises stripping using a solution in page 11 of the specification

Regarding claims 4-5, Chen discloses substantially removing the remaining photoresist material/polymer skin from the photoresist pattern residue/hardened skin from the pattern (col 4, lines 25-35)

The limitation of claim 7 has been discussed above

4. Claims 8, 11-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al (US 5,700,740)

Chen discloses a method for preventing of corrosion of internconects includes the step of removing pattern resist that remains after an etch of an underlying metal pattern (col 4, lines 30-35). The method comprises the steps of:

depositing layer 3/spacer layer between a layer and the substrate (col 3, lines 45-47)

Application/Control Number: 10/750,142

Art Unit: 1765

depositing aluminum/the material for the patterned aluminum layer (col 3, lines 62-64)

Page 4

depositing a pattern resist layer 5 (fig. 1C)

etching the resist layer and the aluminum layer (col 3, lines 65-67)

rinsing/cleaning the resist and remaining material for the patterned layer with water after the etching step (col 4, lines 25-27), which reads on cleaning the wafer with develop solution since the instant specification discloses that develop clean may include water (lines 20-25 on page 10 of the specification)

ashing the surface of the substrate after the rinsing/cleaning step(col 4, lines 30-32) removing the remaining photoresist pattern by stripping/rinsing with a solvent after the cleaning and ashing step (col 4, lines 30-35; col 6, lines 35-39), which reads on photochemically removing the pattern resist that remains after the cleaning and ashing since the applicants discloses that photochemically removing comprises stripping using a solution in page 11 of the specification

Regarding claims 11-12, Chen discloses substantially removing the remaining photoresist material/polymer skin from the photoresist pattern residue/hardened skin from the pattern (col 4, lines 25-35)

The limitation of claim 14 has been discussed above

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 5,700,740) in view of Chinn et al (US 2004/0053505A1)

Chen method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 2-3, 9-10, Chen fails to specifically disclose that the wafer/semiconductor substrate is a micromechanical device/DMD wafer

Chinn, in a method for etching features, discloses that an etched silicon feature can be used in various MEMS/micromechanical devices (see abstract)

Hence, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to employ Chen etched structure as a micromechanical device in view of Chinn teaching because Chinn discloses that silicon structure can be used in a variety of MEMS applications, including MEMS in semiconductor device applications (col 6, paragraph 0066)

6. Claim 6, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 5,700,740) in view of Nguyen et al (US 6,472,315)

Chen method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 6, 13, Chen fails to specifically disclose performing the removing step with an acetate strip process

Nguyen discloses a method for fabricating an interconnect system comprises the step of removing a patterned photoresist with an acetate solution (col 5, lines 30-35)

Art Unit: 1765

Hence, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Chen method by performing the step of removing the photoresist with an acetate solution as per Nguyen because Nguyen discloses that the photoresist is essentially dissolved in a solution that includes ethylene acetate (col 5, lines 33-38) 0074)

Allowable Subject Matter

7 Claims 15-18 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 15, the cited prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest a method of forming a micromirror array comprises the step of "removing patternashing steps", in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 15

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,142

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 7

LV

June 1, 2007